SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JULY 30, 1998 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S007198 People, Plaintiff and Respondent

V.

Jerry Grant Frye, Defendant and Appellant [T]he judgment is affirmed in its entirety.

Brown, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Concurring Opinion by Mosk, J.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Baxter, J.

S056954 Jordache Enterprises, Inc. et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants

V.

Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison et al., Defendants and Respondents

[T]he judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed. Because the Court of Appeal did not reach the other issues Jordache raised on appeal from the trial court's judgment, we remand the case for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J.

Dissenting Opinion by George, C.J.

Dissenting Opinion by Mosk, J.

S070935 Benjamin Hodges, Petitioner

6th Dist.

V.

H018434

Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent Ford Motor Company, Real Party in Interest

The second paragraph of the order filed on July 29, 1998, is hereby modified to read, in its entirety:

"Respondent superior court is ordered to show cause before this court, when the matter is ordered on calendar, why the relief prayed for in the petition should not be granted."

1st Dist. C.M., Petitioner

A082165

v.

Div. 4 Marin County Superior Court, Respondent

S072160 Russell Janes, Real Party in Interest

The request to file the petition for review under seal is denied. The request for waiver of service of the petition for review on real party in interest is denied.

Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

S059302 People, Respondent

v.

Edwin Gilmore Garcia, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including August 7, 1998.

S069354 People, Respondent

v.

Louis Lasko, Jr., Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including September 4, 1998.

S072158 Daniel Saitta, Petitioner

v.

San Bernardino County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

2nd Dist. Frank Brady B119309 v.

Financial Services, Inc.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division One to Division Five. S072196 In the Matter of Suspension of Attorneys Pursuant to Rule 962, California Rules of Court

The Board of Governors of the State Bar of California having on the 28th day of July 1998, filed in this court its resolution recommending suspension from practice and membership in the State Bar of California of the members hereinafter named whose names appear on the certified list prepared by the State Department of Social Services as being in arrears in payment of support obligations and who have failed to obtain a release from the appropriate District Attorney; and it being provided by section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code that any member so failing must be suspended from membership;

IT IS ORDERED that the persons hereinafter named are and each of them is suspended from membership in the State Bar of California and from the rights and privileges of an attorney to act from and after August 31, 1998;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt by the State Bar of California of a release issued by the appropriate District Attorney pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, the State Bar shall certify the fact of the receipt of such release to the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the suspension shall be terminated by order of this Court and such person shall be fully restored to membership in the State Bar of California, and to all rights and privileges, duties and responsibilities incident thereto;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that until restored as above provided each of the persons named shall be precluded from practicing as an attorney at law, or an attorney or agent of another in and before all the courts, commissions and tribunals of this state, and from holding oneself out to the public as an attorney or counsel at law.

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)